Anticipating Features of Ring Finger from Middle Finger Width: A Novel Method

Manimala.S[1] and C.N.Ravi Kumar[2]

[1]Department of CS & E, SJCE, Mysore, Karnataka, INDIA
[2] Department of CS & E, SJCE, Mysore, Karnataka, INDIA
Manimala.S
{malaharish,kumarcnr}@yahoo.com

Abstract: The human hand is a masterpiece of mechanical complexity. Hands may be affected by many disorders, most commonly traumatic injury. In treating hand problems, the mastery of anatomy is fundamental in order to provide the best quality of care. The focus in this paper is on predicting geometric features of ring finger from the known width of the middle finger. Geometric features of both the hands from 100 people of different age group were extracted from the silhouettes. The proposed method can be used to predict ring finger length, position of knuckles and also finger width at the first and second knuckle using taalamana system and shilpa shastra. The estimation accuracy of more than 91% is achieved for all the estimated features of the ring finger.

Keywords: ring finger features, golden mean, taalamana system, iconography, human hand, feature estimation.

1.   Introduction

Human hand is the terminal part of the upper limb, used to manipulate or assess the environment. It is a highly mobile organ, capable of fine discriminative function and manipulation, both of which require a copious blood supply [26]. The anatomy of the hand is complex, intricate, and fascinating. Its integrity is absolutely essential for everyday functional living. Construction of the ring finger when only middle finger width is known is a challenging task. In view of this ring finger features are estimated using taalamana system and golden mean.

In case of accidents if only partial knowledge of the finger is available, then the proposed method can be used to obtain complete knowledge of the damaged part. In medical science when it is necessary to replace any part of the human body like fingers, it can be constructed using the features estimated by our proposed method for perfection in the plastic surgery.

1.1 Taalamana system

Iconography is the branch of art history which studies the identification, description, and the interpretation of the content of images. The word iconography literally means "image writing". The idea of constructing human hand is derived from Silpa Shastra. It has developed its own norms of measures and proportions. It is a complex system of iconography that defines rigid definitions [1,21,22]. The shilpa shastra normally employ divisions on a scale of one (eka tala) to ten (dasa tala). Each tala is subdivided into 12 angulas. It is called Taalamana paddathi or Taalamana

system, the system of measurements by Tala, the palm of hand i.e. from the tip of the middle finger to the wrist as shown in figure 1.

1.2 Golden ratio

Two quantities are in the golden ratio if the ratio of the sum of the quantities to the larger quantity is equal to the ratio of the larger quantity to the smaller one. The golden section is a line segment divided according to the golden ratio. If a and b are the lengths of the larger and smaller line segments respectively, then golden ratio is represented as shown in equation 1.

$$\frac{a+b}{a} = \frac{a}{b} = \Phi(Phi) \tag{1}$$

Figure 1: Computation of Middle finger length

The paper is organized into five sections. Introduction to taalamana system and golden ratio are given in first section. An insight into the related work is given in second section. Mathematical model is enumerated in section 3. In section 4 the proposed method is discussed and the simulation results are presented in section 5.

2.   An Insight into the Related Work

Geometric measurements of the human hand have been used for identity authentication in a number of commercial systems. Anil K.Jain and others have worked extensively on hand geometry specifically for identification and verification

systems [6,7,8]. There is not much open literature addressing the research issues underlying hand geometry-based identity authentication; much of the literature is in the form of patents [2, 3, 4]. Hand geometry recognition systems may provide three kinds of services like verification, classification and identification [12]. A novel contact-free biometric identification system based on geometrical features of the human hand is developed by Aythami Morales and others [11]. A component-based hand verification system using palm-finger segmentation and fusion was developed by Gholamreza and others. The geometry of each component of the hand is represented using high order Zernike moments which is computed using an efficient methodology [15].

Windy and others have used geometric measurements to study the sexual orientation. The ratio of the length of the second digit (2D) to the length of the fourth digit (4D) is greater in women than in men. This ratio is stable from 2 years of age in humans [9,10]. Gender classification from hand images in computer vision is attempted by Gholamreza and others [16].

Issac Cohen and others have worked on 3D hand construction from silhouettes of 2D hands [13]. Digital and metacarpal formulae are morphological variables which may also have functional significance in the understanding of how certain hand forms may be ill-fitted for certain tasks [14].

T.F.Cootes and others have worked on active shape models [17,18] which laid foundations for statistical shape analysis using Procrustes analysis, tangent space projection and Principal Component Analysis[19]. Geometric hand measurements are also used in hand gesture classification using a view-based approach for representation and Artificial Neural Network for classification [20].

3. Mathematical Model

Prediction of finger length, position of knuckles and finger width at the first and second knuckle of the ring finger are computed using taalamana system and golden ratio. The golden mean or ratio can be computed mathematically as shown in equation 2 and 3.

The middle finger length (MFL) is computed as five times the middle finger width (MFW1). Ring finger length (RFL) is computed using equation 4. Ring finger width (RFW1 and RFW2) are computed with the help of equation 5 and 6.

$$\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} = \Phi(Phi) = 1.6180339 \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2} = \Phi(phi) = 0.6180339 \qquad (3)$$

Positions of the knuckles from finger tip and bottom of the ring finger (RL1 and RL2) are computed using the equation 7.

$$RFL = MFL - (phi * MFW1) \qquad (4)$$

$$RFW1 = MW1 - (\frac{MFW1}{16.0}) \qquad (5)$$

$$RFW2 = MW1 \qquad (6)$$

$$RL1 = RL2 = (phi * RFL) + (\frac{MFW1}{2.0}) \qquad (7)$$

4. Proposed Method

Silhouettes of both the hands of 100 users are taken. 24 features are extracted as shown in figure 2. For ring finger five features namely Ring Finger Width 1 (RFW1), Ring Finger Width 2 (RFW2), Ring Finger Length (RFL), Position of first knuckle from bottom(RL1) and position of second knuckle from finger tip (RL2) are extracted. Similarly for fore or index finger, middle finger and little finger these five features are collected and four features for the thumb totally to 24 feature set. From first width of the middle finger (MFW1), the values of RFL, RFW1, RFW2, RL1 and RL2 of ring finger are estimated. The actual and estimated values of a subset of samples are tabulated in table 1 and 2.


Figure 2: Feature Extraction of Ring Finger

5. Simulation Results

Geometrical features of both the hands are collected from 100 different people of different age group. Features collected for each of the finger are Finger Width (FW1, FW2), Finger Length (FL), Distance of first knuckle from bottom of the finger (L1) and distance of the second knuckle from the tip of the finger (L2). Total of 24 features are collected. In the current study ring finger features are estimated using only middle finger width.

In statistics, the mean square error or MSE of an estimator is one of ways to quantify the difference between an estimator and the true value of the quantity being estimated. MSE is a risk function, corresponding to the expected value of the squared error loss or quadratic loss. MSE measures the average of the square of the "error." The error is the amount by which the estimator differs from the quantity to be estimated. The difference occurs because of randomness or because the estimator doesn't account for information that could produce a more accurate estimate. The square root of MSE yields the root mean squared error or RMSE.

The mean absolute error is a quantity used to measure how close forecasts or predictions are to the eventual outcomes. The mean absolute error (MAE) is an average of the absolute errors computed as in equation 9, where f_i is the prediction and y_i the true value.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(f_i - y_i)^2 \qquad (8)$$

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}abs(f_i - y_i) \qquad (9)$$

Table 1 shows the actual and estimated values of RFL, RFW1 and RFW2 are shown. Absolute error and percentage of correctness for all the three features are also tabulated.

Only 25 random samples are shown in the table. Similarly, in table 2 the actual and predicted positions of first knuckle (RL1) and second knuckle (RL2) of the ring finger are tabulated along with the absolute error and percentage of correctness. In table 3, the statistical features of the samples namely min, max, mean and standard deviation are tabulated. Table 4 shows RMSE, MAE and estimation accuracy for all the five features predicted for the ring finger using middle finger width. Mean absolute error and Root mean square error tabulated indicates that a maximum of 0.36 centimeters error is present in estimating position of the knuckles and approximately 0.5 centimeters in estimating ring finger length. Ring finger width shows an error of only 0.1 centimeters.

Table 1: Actual and predicted values of RFW1,RFW2 and RFL

MFW1	A-RFW1	P-R FW1	AE	%Corr	A-RFW2	P-RFW2	AE	%Corr	A-RFL	P-RFL	AE	%Corr
1.50	1.20	1.41	0.21	85.33	1.40	1.50	0.10	93.33	7.50	6.57	0.93	85.90
1.60	1.40	1.50	0.10	93.33	1.50	1.60	0.10	93.75	7.60	7.01	0.59	91.60
1.60	1.50	1.50	0.00	100.00	1.60	1.60	0.00	100.00	7.10	7.01	0.09	98.73
1.80	1.60	1.69	0.09	94.82	1.90	1.80	0.10	94.44	8.10	7.89	0.21	97.31
1.70	1.60	1.59	0.01	99.61	1.90	1.70	0.20	88.24	8.00	7.45	0.55	92.61
1.55	1.55	1.45	0.10	93.33	1.85	1.55	0.30	80.65	7.40	6.79	0.61	91.05
1.40	1.60	1.31	0.29	78.10	1.80	1.40	0.40	71.43	7.10	6.13	0.97	84.27
1.60	1.40	1.50	0.10	93.33	1.70	1.60	0.10	93.75	7.90	7.01	0.89	87.32
1.60	1.50	1.50	0.00	100.00	1.70	1.60	0.10	93.75	7.55	7.01	0.54	92.32
1.60	1.70	1.50	0.20	86.67	1.80	1.60	0.20	87.50	7.50	7.01	0.49	93.03
1.70	1.60	1.59	0.01	99.61	1.90	1.70	0.20	88.24	7.90	7.45	0.45	93.95
1.60	1.40	1.50	0.10	93.33	1.60	1.60	0.00	100.00	7.10	7.01	0.09	98.73
1.60	1.40	1.50	0.10	93.33	1.00	1.60	0.60	62.50	7.40	7.01	0.39	94.46
1.80	1.50	1.69	0.19	88.89	1.60	1.80	0.20	88.89	7.90	7.89	0.01	99.84
1.60	1.60	1.50	0.10	93.33	1.70	1.60	0.10	93.75	7.80	7.01	0.79	88.75
1.60	1.50	1.50	0.00	100.00	1.70	1.60	0.10	93.75	7.90	7.01	0.89	87.32
1.70	1.50	1.59	0.09	94.12	1.80	1.70	0.10	94.12	7.80	7.45	0.35	95.29
1.70	1.50	1.59	0.09	94.12	1.80	1.70	0.10	94.12	7.80	7.45	0.35	95.29
1.70	1.50	1.59	0.09	94.12	2.00	1.70	0.30	82.35	7.80	7.45	0.35	95.29
1.60	1.50	1.50	0.00	100.00	1.80	1.60	0.20	87.50	7.90	7.01	0.89	87.32
1.70	1.60	1.59	0.01	99.61	2.10	1.70	0.40	76.47	8.10	7.45	0.65	91.27
1.60	1.50	1.50	0.00	100.00	1.70	1.60	0.10	93.75	7.70	7.01	0.69	90.18
1.50	1.50	1.41	0.09	93.33	1.80	1.50	0.30	80.00	7.50	6.57	0.93	85.90
1.60	1.60	1.50	0.10	93.33	1.80	1.60	0.20	87.50	8.00	7.01	0.99	85.90
1.60	1.50	1.50	0.00	100.00	1.65	1.60	0.05	96.88	7.60	7.01	0.59	91.60

Table 2: Actual and predicted values of RL1 and RL2

MFW1	A-RL1	P-RL1	AE	%Correct	A-RL2	P-RL2	AE	%Correct
1.50	4.70	4.81	0.11	97.67	5.00	4.81	0.19	96.10
1.60	4.90	5.13	0.23	95.46	5.20	5.13	0.07	98.69
1.60	4.80	5.13	0.33	93.51	4.90	5.13	0.23	95.46
1.80	4.90	5.77	0.87	84.86	6.00	5.77	0.23	96.10
1.70	5.40	5.45	0.05	99.02	5.50	5.45	0.05	99.15
1.55	4.45	4.97	0.52	89.49	5.20	4.97	0.23	95.43
1.40	4.60	4.49	0.11	97.58	5.10	4.49	0.61	86.45
1.60	5.20	5.13	0.07	98.69	5.20	5.13	0.07	98.69
1.60	5.60	5.13	0.47	90.90	4.70	5.13	0.43	91.57
1.60	5.10	5.13	0.03	99.36	5.00	5.13	0.13	97.41
1.70	5.60	5.45	0.15	97.32	5.20	5.45	0.25	95.35
1.60	4.90	5.13	0.23	95.46	4.70	5.13	0.43	91.57
1.60	4.40	5.13	0.73	85.72	5.40	5.13	0.27	94.80
1.80	5.40	5.77	0.37	93.51	5.30	5.77	0.47	91.78
1.60	5.30	5.13	0.17	96.75	5.60	5.13	0.47	90.90
1.60	5.10	5.13	0.03	99.36	5.60	5.13	0.47	90.90
1.70	4.70	5.45	0.75	86.18	5.40	5.45	0.05	99.02
1.70	4.80	5.45	0.65	88.01	5.50	5.45	0.05	99.15
1.70	5.00	5.45	0.45	91.68	5.90	5.45	0.45	91.82
1.60	4.80	5.13	0.33	93.51	5.40	5.13	0.27	94.80
1.70	5.30	5.45	0.15	97.18	5.90	5.45	0.45	91.82
1.60	4.90	5.13	0.23	95.46	5.00	5.13	0.13	97.41
1.50	4.50	4.81	0.31	93.51	5.80	4.81	0.99	79.47
1.60	4.70	5.13	0.43	91.57	5.70	5.13	0.57	88.95
1.60	5.30	5.13	0.17	96.75	5.20	5.13	0.07	98.69

In figure 3(a-e) around 40 – 50 subset of the samples are plot indicating the actual and predicted values of RFL,RFW1, RFW2, RL1 and RL2 respectively.

Red line in the plot shows the actual or true values and blue line indicates the predicted values. Overlapping in the graph shows the close relation of predicted values to the actual values.

Table 3 : Statistical Analysis

	Min	Max	Mean	Std Deviation
MFW1	1.3	2.0	1.5712	0.1246
RFL	5.90	9.20	7.39	0.57
RFW1	1.10	1.90	1.43	0.15
RFW2	1.00	2.30	1.65	0.20
RL1	3.80	6.10	4.92	0.46
RL2	4.10	6.50	5.03	0.45

Table 4 : RMSE and MAE

	MAE	RMSE	Estimation Accuracy
RFL	0.56	0.66	91.68
RFW1	0.10	0.12	93.44
RFW2	0.14	0.18	91.17
RL1	0.36	0.45	92.86
RL2	0.27	0.34	94.73

a)

b)

Figure 3(a-e) : Plot of actual and predicted values of RFW1, RFW2, RFL, RL1 and RL2

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge this is the first humble beginning in estimating the geometrical features of ring finger from the width of the middle finger. In view of this Taalamana system and golden ratio are used to predict the feature values for RFL, RFW1, RFW2, RL1 and RL2. The graph in figure 3 indicates close association of the actual and the estimated feature values. Estimation accuracy of 92%, 93%, 91%, 93% and 95% for RFL, RFW1, RFW2, RL1 and RL2 features respectively is achieved. Only middle finger width is sufficient to estimate the features of the ring finger.

References

[1] Gopinatha Rao, T. A (1920). Talamana, or, Iconometry : Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India ; no. 3. Calcutta: Supt. Govt. Print

[2] R. P. Miller, "Finger dimension comparison identification system", US Patent No. 3576538, 1971.

[3] R. H. Ernst, "Hand ID system", US Patent No.3576537, 1971.

[4] H. Jacoby, A. J. Giordano, and W. H. Fioretti, "Personnel Identification Apparatus", US PatentNo. 3648240, 1972.

[5] Raul Sanchez –Reillo, Carmen Sanchez-Avila, Ana Gonzalez-Macros, "Biometric identification through hand geometric measurements", IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, Vol 22, No. 10, Oct 2000.

[6] Anil K. Jain, Arun Ross, Sharath Pankanti, "A Prototype Hand Geometry-based Verification System", 2nd International Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication (AVBPA), Washington D.C., pp.166-171, March 22-24, 1999.

[7] A.K. Jain, A. Ross, and S. Pankanti. A prototype hand geometrybased verification system. In Proceedings of 2nd Int'l Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication, pages 166–171, March 1999.

[8] Anil K. Jain and Nicolae Duta. Deformable matching of hand shapes for verification. In Proceedings of International Conference on Image Processing, October 1999.

[9] Windy M. Brown, Melissa Hines, Briony A. Fane, S. Marc Breedlove, " Masculinized Finger Length Patterns in Human Males and Females with Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia", Hormones and Behavior 42, 380–386 2002, Elsevier Science (USA)

[10] Windy M. Brown, B.A., Christopher J. Finn, B.A., Bradley M. Cooke, and S. Marc Breedlove,"Differences in Finger Length Ratios Between Self-Identified Butch and Femme Lesbians", Archives of Sexual Behavior, Vol. 31, No. 1, February 2002, pp. 123–127

[11] Aythami Morales, Miguel A. Ferrer, Francisco Díaz, Jesús B. Alonso, Carlos M. Travieso, "Contact-free hand biometric system for real environments",16th European Signal Processing Conference, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 25-29, 2008.

[12] Yaroslav Bulatov, Sachin Jambawalikar, Piyush Kumar, Saurabh Sethia, "Hand recognition using geometric classifiers" Biometric Authentication, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2004, Volume 3072/2004, 1-29

[13] Isaac Cohen, Sung Uk Lee , "3D Hand and Fingers Reconstruction from Monocular View", 17th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, 2004

[14] Stephen Lewis "Morphological aspects of male and female hands", Annals of Human Biology,1996 Nov-Dec;23(6):491-4.

[15] Gholamreza Amayeh, George Bebis, Ali Erol, Mircea Nicolescu, "A Component-Based Approach to Hand Verification", IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007

[16] Gholamreza Amayeh, George Bebis, Mircea Nicolescu, "Gender Classification from Hand Shape", IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern recognition workshops, 2008

[17] T. F. Cootes and Taylor, "Active shape models – smart snakes", British Machine Vision Conference, pages 266–275, 1992.

[18] T. F. Cootes, G. J. Edwards, and C. J. Taylor, "Active appearance models", IEEE Transactions On Pattern Recognition and Machine Intelligence, 23(6):681–685, 2001.

[19] Mikkel B. Stegmann and David Delgado Gomez, "A Brief Introduction to Statistical Shape Analysis", a data report at http://www.imm.dtu.dk/~mbs/

[20] Sanjay Kumar, Dinesh K Kumar, Arun Sharma, and Neil McLachlan "Classification of Hand Movements Using Motion Templates and Geometrical Based Moments", IEEE, ICISIP 2004

International Journal of Computer Science & Emerging Technologies (E-ISSN: 2044-6004)
Volume 2, Issue 1, February 2011

94

[21] Gift Siromoney; M. Bagavandas, S.Govindaraju (1980). "An iconometric study of Pallava sculptures". Kalakshetra Quarterly 3 (2): 7–15.

[22] http://www.cmi.ac.in/gift/Iconometry/icon_pallavas culpture.htm

[23] Kramrisch, Stella; Raymond Burnier (1976). The Hindu Temple. Motilal Banarsidass Publ.. pp. 309. ISBN 9788120802247.

[24] Wangu, Madhu Bazaz. Images of Indian Goddesses: Myths, Meanings and Models. Abhinav Publications. pp. 72. ISBN 978817017416

[25] Manimala.S, Dr. C N Ravi Kumar, " Prediction of Middle Finger Features from its Width: A Novel Approach", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science , Vol 1, No. 4, Nov-Dec 2010, pp 42-46, ISSN 0976 – 5697

[26] http://encyclopedia.stateuniversity.com/pages/9384/hand.html

Author Biographies

Ms. Manimala.S is a Senior Lecturer of the department of Computer Science and Engineering at Sri Jayachamarajendra College of Engineering Mysore. She obtained her Bachelor's degree in Computer Science and Engineering in 1994 and Masters in Software Engineering in 2004. Currently she is pursuing her Ph.D under the guidance of Dr.C.N. Ravi Kumar. She has five research papers published in International journal and National and International Conference. Her research interest includes Image Construction, Image Interpolation, Image Rendering, Pattern Recognition.

Dr. C.N. Ravi Kumar is the founder faculty of the department of Computer Science and Engineering at Sri Jayachamarajendra College of Engineering Mysore. He is presently working as a Professor and Head of the department. He obtained his Bachelor degree in Electronics and Communication in the year 1979,obtained his Master Degree in the year 1985 and was the first person to obtain the M.Sc. (Engg.) by Research degree from Mysore University. He obtained his doctoral degree in Computer Science and Engineering during the year 2000, under the guidance of Dr. K. Chidananda Gowda, the former Vice-Chancellor of Kuvempu University. He has 108 papers published to his credit in National, International journals and Conferences. His research interest includes Pattern Recognition, Image Processing, Biometrics, Data Mining.